

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,897		09/05/2003	Yi-Yin Ku	6973.US.02	6630
23492	7590	05/21/2004		EXAMINER	
STEVEN F				MORRIS, PA	ATRICIA L
ABBOTT L. 100 ABBOT				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DEPT. 377/	AP6A			1625	
ABBOTT P.	ARK, IL	60064-6008	DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/654,897	KU ET AL.				
Office Act	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Patricia L. Morris	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specification of the second for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the second for reply received by the O	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. In the mailing date of this communication. ited above is less than thirty (30) days, a reposition above, the maximum statutory period of or extended period for reply will, by statut	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE and date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on	· ·					
2a) ☐ This action is F	INAL. 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 							
6)☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)	-		·				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> a	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification	n is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) The oath or dec	laration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119	•					
• • •	nt is made of a claim for foreig me * c)⊡ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
,	copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2.☐ Certified	copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applicati	on No				
3.☐ Copies o	f the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application	on from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	od (PTO 900)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
 Notice of References Cite Notice of Draftsperson's 	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
· ===	tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5, drawn to a process of preparing, classified in classes 546 and 548,
 various subclasses.
- II. Claims 6-10, drawn to a process of preparing, classified in classes 546 and 548, various subclasses.
- III. Claims 11, drawn to a process of preparing, classified in classes 546, 548 and 568, various subclasses
- IV. Claims 12 and 13 drawn to a process of preparing, classified in classes 546 and 548, various subclasses.
- V. Claim 14, drawn to compounds wherein A is pyrrolidine, classified in class 548 various subclasses.
- VI. Claim 14, drawn to compounds wherein A is piperidine, classified in class 546, various subclasses.
- VII. Claim 15, drawn to compounds, classified in class 558, subclass 411.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

These distinct inventions have acquired separate status in the art, will support separate patents, and will require different fields of search for the respective inventions. Accordingly, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is considered proper; 35 U.S.C. 121; 37 CFR 1.141; 37 CFR 1.142.

Art Unit: 1625

Inventions I-IV and V, VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made materially different processes as evidenced by applicants' own claims and specification.

Inventions V and VI are related as patentably distinct compounds.

"A Markush-type claim is directed to "independent and distinct inventions", if two or more of its members are so unrelated and diverse that a prior art reference anticipating the claim with respect to one of the members would not render the claim obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103 with respect to the other member(s)". <u>In re Weber</u>, 198 USPQ 330, footnote 3.

A reference to a piperidine here would not be a reference to a pyrrolidine. When one writes out the entire compound, as a whole, one arrives at patentably distinct heterocyclic compounds, along the lines indicated in the Groups of the first page of this action. Distinct, independent, heterocyclic nuclei.

Independent means the compound is capable of being utilized alone, not in combination with other compounds listed in the Markush expression; MPEP 802.01.

Inventions V,VI and VII are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as corrosion inhibitors, photographic antifogging agents,

Art Unit: 1625

herbicides, fungicides, etc., and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In, <u>In re Weber</u>, 198 USPQ 332, <u>In re Hengehold</u>, 169 USPQ 473, was noted for the proposition that as long as applicants have maintained the right (as they do here) to file the non-elected subject matter in divisional applications, then restriction is proper, as to that point.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Morris whose telephone number is (571) 272-0688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Morris Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

plm May 19, 2004